

# Homework 2

## Algebra

Joshua Ruiter

February 15, 2018

**Proposition 0.1** (Exercise 1a). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $x \in R$  an element that is not a zero divisor. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/(x), M) \cong \{m \in M : xm = 0\}$$

*Proof.* Consider the sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{r \mapsto xr} R \xrightarrow{r \mapsto \bar{r}} R/(x) \longrightarrow 0$$

The map  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective because  $x$  is not a zero divisor, so this is an exact sequence. Since  $R$  is free as an  $R$ -module, this is a projective resolution of  $R/(x)$ . Dropping the  $R/(x)$  and applying the tensor functor  $M \otimes -$  we get the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow M \otimes R \xrightarrow{m \otimes r \mapsto m \otimes (xr)} M \otimes R \longrightarrow 0$$

Note that  $m \otimes (xr) = (xm) \otimes r$ . We have a functorial isomorphism  $M \otimes R \rightarrow M$  given by  $m \otimes r \mapsto rm$ , that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes R & \xrightarrow{m \otimes r \mapsto (xm) \otimes r} & M \otimes R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow m \otimes r \mapsto rm & & \downarrow m \otimes r \mapsto rm & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{m \mapsto xm} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By definition,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1(R/(x), M)$  is the homology of the top row tensor chain complex at the left copy of  $M \otimes R$ . Since the image is trivial, this homology is just the kernel of  $m \otimes r \mapsto (xm) \otimes r$ . Since the vertical maps are isomorphisms and the square commutes, this kernel is isomorphic to the kernel of  $m \mapsto xm$ . Thus

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/(x), M) \cong \{m \in M : xm = 0\}$$

□

**Proposition 0.2** (Exercise 1b). *Let  $m, n$  be positive integers, and let  $d = \gcd(n, m)$ .*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$$

*Proof.* We apply part (a) with  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x = m$ , and  $M = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : mx = 0\}$$

This is the kernel of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $x \rightarrow mx$ , so it is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , so it is cyclic. It is generated by  $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,m)}$ , so it is cyclic of order  $\gcd(n,m)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.3** (for Exercises 2a,2b). *Let  $A$  be an abelian group. There is a free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules*

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

*In particular, this is a projective resolution of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of generators for  $A$ , and let  $F$  be the free abelian group generated by  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Let  $\pi : F \rightarrow A$  be the unique homomorphism such that  $x_i \mapsto x_i$ . Since  $\ker \pi$  is a subgroup of a free abelian group, it is free. Let  $\iota : \ker \pi \rightarrow F$  be the inclusion. Then the sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ker \pi \xrightarrow{\iota} F \xrightarrow{\pi} A \longrightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 0.4** (Exercise 2a). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian groups. Then  $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Using the previous lemma, take a free resolution of  $A$ ,

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

Then  $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the homology of the sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E \otimes B \longrightarrow F \otimes B \longrightarrow 0$$

All of the kernels are trivial except the two on the far right, so the 2nd homology and higher are zero.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.5** (Exercise 2b). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian groups. Then  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(A, B) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Using the previous lemma, take a free resolution of  $A$ ,

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

Then  $\mathrm{Ext}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the homology of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, B) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Starting with the 2nd homology, the kernel and image are both trivial, so  $\mathrm{Ext}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 0.6** (Exercise 2c). *Let  $A$  be a finitely generated abelian group. Then  $A$  is free abelian if and only if  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $A$  is free abelian. Since  $A$  is finitely generated,

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a free module over itself, it is projective, so  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, B) = 0$  for any abelian group  $B$ , so  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n 0 = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Since  $A$  is finitely generated,

$$A \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{Z}/a_j\mathbb{Z}\right)$$

for some set of  $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{Z}/a_j\mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \\ &\cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/a_j\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})\right) \end{aligned}$$

As already noted,  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , so

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \prod_{j=1}^m \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/a_j\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$$

However, we know that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/a_j\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/a_j\mathbb{Z}$$

which is not zero unless  $a_j = 1$ . Thus since  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  by hypothesis,  $a_j = 1$  for all  $j$ . That is,

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}$$

so  $A$  is free abelian. □

**Lemma 0.7** (for Exercise 3a). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be set maps so that  $g \circ f$  is injective. Then  $f$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in X$  so that  $f(a) = f(b)$ . Then  $g \circ f(a) = g \circ f(b)$  which implies  $a = b$  by injectivity of  $g \circ f$ . Hence  $f$  is injective. □

**Proposition 0.8** (Exercise 3a). *Fix a commutative ring  $R$ , and let*

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \xrightarrow{\phi} F \xrightarrow{\psi} F'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $F''$  is flat. Then  $F$  is flat if and only if  $F'$  is flat.*

*Proof.* (All homomorphisms are  $R$ -module homomorphisms and all tensors are over  $R$ .) Let  $\alpha : E' \rightarrow E$  be an injective homomorphism. Since  $F''$  is flat,  $F'' \otimes E' \rightarrow F'' \otimes E$  defined by  $f'' \otimes e' \rightarrow f'' \otimes \alpha(e')$  is injective. Also, by Lemma 3.3 in Lang, the rows in the following diagram are exact. The diagram is commutative because the tensor product is a functor.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes E' & \longrightarrow & F \otimes E' & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes E' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes E & \longrightarrow & F \otimes E & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes E & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Suppose  $F'$  is flat. Then  $F' \otimes E' \rightarrow F' \otimes E$  is injective. Since  $F'' \otimes E' \rightarrow F'' \otimes E$  is also injective and  $0 \rightarrow 0$  is (trivially) surjective, the diagram satisfies the hypotheses of Exercise 15a from Chapter 3 which we did in Homework 1 (one of the Four Lemmas). Thus  $F \otimes E' \rightarrow F \otimes E$  is injective. Thus  $F$  is flat.

Now suppose that  $F$  is flat. Then  $F \otimes E' \rightarrow F \otimes E$  is injective, so the composition  $F' \otimes E' \rightarrow F \otimes E' \rightarrow F \otimes E$  is a composition of injections, so it is injective. By commutativity of the left square, the composition  $F' \otimes E' \rightarrow F' \otimes E \rightarrow F \otimes E$  must be injective. By the previous lemma, this implies that  $F' \otimes E' \rightarrow F' \otimes E$  is injective, which means that  $F'$  is flat.

(Here's the same commutative diagram with detailed descriptions of the maps.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes E' & \xrightarrow{f' \otimes e' \mapsto \phi(f') \otimes e'} & F \otimes E' & \xrightarrow{f \otimes e' \mapsto \psi(f) \otimes e'} & F'' \otimes E' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f' \otimes e' \mapsto f' \otimes \alpha(e') & & \downarrow f \otimes e' \mapsto f \otimes \alpha(e') & & \downarrow f'' \otimes e' \mapsto f'' \otimes \alpha(e') & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes E & \xrightarrow{f' \otimes e \mapsto \phi(f') \otimes e} & F \otimes E & \xrightarrow{f \otimes e \mapsto \psi(f) \otimes e} & F'' \otimes E & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

□

**Proposition 0.9** (Exercise 3a, alternate proof using a long exact sequence). *Fix a commutative ring  $R$ , and let*

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \xrightarrow{\phi} F \xrightarrow{\psi} F'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $F''$  is flat. Then  $F$  is flat if and only if  $F'$  is flat.*

*Proof.* Fix an  $R$ -module  $M$  and a projective resolution of  $M$

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes P_2 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes P_1 & \longrightarrow & F' \otimes P_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & F \otimes P_2 & \longrightarrow & F \otimes P_1 & \longrightarrow & F \otimes P_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes P_2 & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes P_1 & \longrightarrow & F'' \otimes P_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Each column is a short exact sequence, because  $P_i$  is projective and hence flat (Lang, Proposition 3.1(iii), page 613). That is, the above is a short exact sequence of complexes with morphisms of degree zero. For each row, the  $n$ th homology is  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F', M)$ ,  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F, M)$ , or  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F'', M)$  respectively. By Theorem 2.1 (Lang, page 768), we get a long exact sequence on homology,

$$\begin{aligned}
\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F', M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F'', M) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F', M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F'', M) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $F''$  is flat,  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F'', M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  (Theorem 3.11 in Lang, page 622), so the above can be rewritten as

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F', M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F', M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

If  $F$  is flat, then  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F, M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so the sequence becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F', M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F', M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since this sequence is exact, this implies that  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F', M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $F'$  is flat (again by Theorem 3.11). By a similar argument, if  $F'$  is flat, we get an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(F, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(F, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

which implies that  $\text{Tor}_n^R(F, M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $F$  is flat. Hence  $F'$  is flat if and only if  $F$  is flat.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.10** (Exercise 3b, injective part). *Fix a commutative ring  $R$ , and let*

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $F'$  is injective. Then  $F$  is injective if and only if  $F''$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Fix an  $R$ -module  $M$  and a projective resolution of  $M$

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram, which is a short exact sequence of chain complexes with morphisms of degree zero.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_0, F') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_1, F') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_2, F') \longrightarrow \dots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_0, F) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_1, F) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_2, F) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_0, F'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_1, F'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P_2, F'') \longrightarrow \dots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Each column is a short exact sequence, because  $P_i$  is projective, so the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(P_i, -)$  is exact. Thus the above is a short exact sequence of complexes with morphisms of degree zero. For each row, the  $n$ th homology is  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F')$ ,  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F)$ , or  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F'')$  respectively. By Theorem 2.1 (Lang, page 768), we get a long exact sequence on homology,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F') &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F'') \rightarrow \\
&\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F'') \rightarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $F'$  is injective,  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F') = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so the above can be rewritten as

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F'') \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F'') \rightarrow \dots$$

If  $F$  is injective, then  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so the sequence becomes

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F'') \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F'') \rightarrow \dots$$

Since this sequence is exact, this implies that  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F'') = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $F''$  is injective. By a similar argument, if  $F''$  is injective, we get an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(M, F) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(M, F) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

which implies that  $\text{Ext}_n^R(M, F) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $F$  is injective. Hence  $F''$  is injective if and only if  $F$  is injective.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.11** (Exercise 3b, projective part). *Fix a commutative ring  $R$ , and let*

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \xrightarrow{\phi} F \xrightarrow{\psi} F'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $F''$  is projective. Then  $F$  is projective if and only if  $F'$  is projective.*

*Proof.* Fix an  $R$ -module  $M$  and an injective resolution of  $M$

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram, which is a short exact sequence of chain complexes with morphisms of degree zero.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F', I_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F', I_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F', I_2) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F, I_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F, I_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F, I_2) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F'', I_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F'', I_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(F'', I_2) \longrightarrow \dots \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Each column is a short exact sequence, because  $I_i$  is injective, so the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, I_i)$  is exact. Thus the above is a short exact sequence of complexes with morphisms of degree zero. For each row, the  $n$ th homology is  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F', M)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F, M)$ , or  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F'', M)$  respectively. By Theorem 2.1 (Lang, page 768), we get a long exact sequence on homology,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F'', M) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F', M) \rightarrow \\
&\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F'', M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F', M) \rightarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $F''$  is projective,  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F'', M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so the above can be rewritten as

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F', M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F', M) \rightarrow \dots$$

If  $F$  is projective, then  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F, M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so the sequence becomes

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F', M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F', M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since this sequence is exact, this implies that  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F', M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $F'$  is projective. By a similar argument, if  $F'$  is projective, we get an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^R(F, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{n+1}^R(F, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

which implies that  $\text{Ext}_n^R(F, M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so  $F$  is projective. Hence  $F'$  is projective if and only if  $F$  is projective.  $\square$

**Definition 0.12.** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. An **extension** of  $M$  by  $N$  is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

We define a map from extensions of  $M$  by  $N$  to  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ . Choose an extension  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $P$  be a projective  $R$ -module, with a surjective homomorphism  $p : P \rightarrow M$ . Let  $K = \ker p$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{w} P \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $w$  is the inclusion. Because  $P$  is projective, there exists a homomorphism  $u : P \rightarrow E$ , and depending on  $u$  a unique homomorphism  $v : K \rightarrow N$  so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{w} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & v \downarrow & & u \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

On the other hand, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \longrightarrow 0$$

with the last term on the right being equal to zero because  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, N) = 0$ . To the extension  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  we associate the image of  $v$  in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ .

**Proposition 0.13** (Exercise 4, Lang Ch. XX Exercise 27). *The association above is a bijection between isomorphism classes of extensions and  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote the association defined above by  $\Phi$ . We will construct an inverse for  $\Phi$ . Let  $e \in \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{w} P \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $P$  is projective,  $K = \ker p$ , and  $w$  is the inclusion. Then the following sequence is exact,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(K, N) \\ & & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(P, N) & \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

But  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, N) = 0$  since  $P$  is projective, so  $\text{Hom}_R(K, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  is surjective. That is, there exists  $v \in \text{Hom}_R(K, N)$  so that  $\Phi(v) = e$ .

Now define

$$J = \{(v(x), -w(x)) \in N \oplus P : x \in K\}$$

and then define  $E = (N \oplus P)/J$ . We claim that the map  $\psi : N \rightarrow E$  given by  $y \mapsto (y, 0) \text{ mod } J$  is injective.

$$\ker \psi = \{y \in N : (y, 0) \in J\} = \{y \in N : \exists x \in K \ y = v(x), 0 = w(-x)\}$$

Since  $w$  is injective,  $w(-x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ , so the kernel of  $\psi$  is trivial. Hence  $\psi$  is injective as claimed. Now we claim that the map  $N \oplus P \rightarrow M$  given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (0, p(y))$  vanishes on  $J$ . This follows because  $p \circ w = 0$ .

$$(v(x), -w(x)) \mapsto (0, p(-w(x))) = (0, -p(w(x))) = (0, 0)$$



Hence we have an induced map  $E = (N \oplus P)/J \rightarrow M$ , which is surjective. Thus, from  $e \in \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ , we have produced an extension  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . We'll denote this association  $\Psi$ .

We claim that  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  is the identity on  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ . Let  $e \in \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ . Then  $\Psi(e)$  is the extension  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , which, by construction, lives inside the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{w} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & v \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & (N \oplus P)/J & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By definition of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi(\Psi(e))$  is the image of  $v$  in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(K, N)$ , which is  $e$  by construction of  $\Psi$ . Thus  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  is the identity on  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ .

Now we claim that  $\Psi \circ \Phi$  maps an extension to an isomorphic extension. Let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an extension of  $M$  by  $N$ . By definition, its image under  $\Phi$  is found by choosing a projective module  $P$  containing  $M$  and constructing the following diagram, and taking the image of  $v$  in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{w} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & v \downarrow & & u \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Denote this image by  $e$ . Then by construction of  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi(e)$  is the extension  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow (N \oplus P)/J \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{w} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & v \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & (N \oplus P)/J & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Combining these diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & (N \oplus P)/J & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{w} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & v \downarrow & & u \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

then deleting some now irrelevant pieces,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & (N \oplus P)/J & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \uparrow P & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and further collapsing, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & (N \oplus P)/J & & & \\
 & & \nearrow & \uparrow & \searrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N & & P & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \searrow & \downarrow u & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & E & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Then we get a map  $(N \oplus P)/J \rightarrow E$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto u(y)$ . This map makes the above diagram commute, so these extensions are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.14** (Exercise 5). *Three inequivalent extensions of  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  are given by*

$$\begin{array}{lclclcl}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto 3} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto \bar{1}} & \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto 3} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto \bar{2}} & \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto (1,0)} & \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[(0,1) \mapsto \bar{1}]{(1,0) \mapsto \bar{0}} & \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* First we check that these are all exact sequences.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{im}(1 \mapsto 3) &= 3\mathbb{Z} = \ker(1 \mapsto \bar{1}) \\
 \text{im}(1 \mapsto 3) &= 3\mathbb{Z} = \ker(1 \mapsto \bar{2}) \\
 \text{im}(1 \mapsto (1,0)) &= \{(n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \ker((1,0) \mapsto \bar{0})
 \end{aligned}$$

The third extension is not equivalent to the first two because there is no isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , since the former is torsion free and the latter is not. If the first two are equivalent extensions, then there is an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbb{Z} & & \\
 & \nearrow 1 \mapsto 3 & \downarrow \phi & \searrow 1 \mapsto \bar{1} & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \searrow 1 \mapsto 3 & \downarrow & \nearrow 1 \mapsto \bar{2} & \\
 & & \mathbb{Z} & & 
 \end{array}$$

Just looking at the left triangle implies that  $\phi = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which means that the right triangle does not commute. Hence no such isomorphism exists, so these are inequivalent extensions.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.15** (Exercise 6a). *Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  be the ring of polynomials with complex coefficients in two variables  $x, y$ . Define  $R \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$f \cdot z \mapsto (f(0, 0))(z)$$

*then  $\mathbb{C}$  is an  $R$ -module with this structure.*

*Proof.* Let  $z, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Let  $p_1$  denote the constant polynomial  $p_1(x, y) = 1$ , which is the multiplicative unit in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot (z_1 + z_2) &= f(0, 0)(z_1 + z_2) = f(0, 0)z_1 + f(0, 0)z_2 = f \cdot z_1 + f \cdot z_2 \\ (f + g) \cdot z &= (f + g)(0, 0)z = (f(0, 0) + g(0, 0))z = f(0, 0)z + g(0, 0)z = f \cdot z + g \cdot z \\ (fg) \cdot z &= (fg)(0, 0)z = (f(0, 0)g(0, 0))z = f(0, 0)(g \cdot z) = f \cdot (g \cdot z) \\ p_1 \cdot z &= p_1(0, 0)z = z \end{aligned}$$

Thus this gives  $\mathbb{C}$  an  $R$ -module structure.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.16** (Exercise 7a). *Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain, and let  $F$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $F$  is flat if and only if it is torsion free.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $F$  is flat. For  $r \in R$ , define  $\psi_r : R \rightarrow R$  by  $\psi_r(x) = rx$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain,  $\psi_r$  is injective. Since  $F$  is flat, the induced map  $\text{Id}_F \otimes \psi_r : F \otimes R \rightarrow F \otimes R$  given by  $f \otimes x \mapsto f \otimes \psi_r(x)$  is injective. Suppose  $f$  is a torsion element of  $F$ , that is, there exists  $r \in R$  with  $r \neq 0$  so that  $rf = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id}_F \otimes \psi_r(f \otimes 1) &= f \otimes r = (rf) \otimes 1 = 0 \\ \text{Id}_F \otimes \psi_r(0 \otimes 1) &= 0 \otimes r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By injectivity of  $\text{Id}_F \otimes \psi_r$ , this implies  $f \otimes 1 = 0 \otimes 1$ . Recall that the map  $F \otimes R \rightarrow F$  given by  $f \otimes 1 \mapsto f$  is an isomorphism, so  $f = 0$ . Thus any torsion element of  $F$  is zero, so  $F$  is torsion free.

Now suppose  $F$  is torsion free. Recall that  $F$  is flat if and only if  $\text{Tor}_1^R(F, R/I) = 0$  for every ideal  $I \subset R$ . Let  $I \subset R$  be an ideal. Since  $R$  is a PID,  $I = (x)$  for some  $a \in R$ . By Proposition 0.1,

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(F, R/(x)) = \{f \in F : xf = 0\}$$

which is zero because  $F$  is torsion free. Thus  $F$  is flat.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.17** (for Exercise 7b). *A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is projective if and only if it is free.*

*Proof.* Free modules are always projective, over any ring, so one direction is done. Let  $M$  be a projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Then  $M$  is a direct summand of a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, that is, there exists an abelian group  $N$  so that  $M \oplus N$  is free abelian. Then  $M$  is a subgroup of a free abelian group, so it is free abelian (Theorem 7.3 in Lang). Thus  $M$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.18** (for Exercise 7b).  *$\mathbb{Q}$  is not a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is free as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Then the basis cannot have just one element  $x$ , since then  $\frac{x}{2}$  would not be an integer multiple of  $x$ , and then  $x$  would not generate  $\mathbb{Q}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus there must be a linearly independent set with at least two nonzero elements, say  $\frac{a}{b}$  and  $\frac{c}{d}$  with  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then note that  $\frac{\text{lcm}(a, c)}{a}$  and  $\frac{\text{lcm}(a, c)}{c}$  are both integers, so

$$\left( \frac{b \text{lcm}(a, c)}{a} \right) \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + \left( \frac{-d \text{lcm}(a, c)}{c} \right) \left( \frac{c}{d} \right) = 0$$

is a nontrivial linear combination. Thus there are no linearly independent subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with at least two elements. Thus there can be no basis for  $\mathbb{Q}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so it is not free.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.19** (Exercise 7b). *As a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is flat but not projective.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is torsion-free and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by part (a). By the previous two lemmas, a  $\mathbb{Z}$  module is free if and only if it is projective, and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not free; hence  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.  $\square$